
Poster

[P27-3] P27-3: Anti-infective drugs (8): Antiviral

Chair: Birgit C. P. Koch, The Netherlands

Wed. Sep 27, 2017 12:30 PM - 1:30 PM Annex Hall (1F)

(Wed. Sep 27, 2017 12:30 PM - 1:30 PM Annex Hall)

[P27-3-5] Interaction between darunavir and etravirine is partly mediated by CYP3A5 polymorphism

Vincent Haufroid¹, Leila Belkhir², Laure Elens³, Francis Zech⁴, Nadtha Panin⁵, Anne Vincent⁶, Jean-Cyr Yombi⁷, Bernard Vandercam⁸ (1.Universite catholique de Louvain, 2.Universite catholique de Louvain, 3.Universite catholique de Louvain, 4.Universite catholique de Louvain, 5.Universite catholique de Louvain, 6.Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, 7.Universite catholique de Louvain, 8.Universite catholique de Louvain)

Keywords: darunavir, etravirine, CYP3A5, polymorphism

Background

To assess the impact of the loss-of-function *CYP3A5**3 allele (rs776746, 6986A>G SNP) on darunavir (DRV) plasma concentrations

Methods

135 HIV-1 infected patients treated with DRV-based therapy were included in the study and plasma samples were obtained immediately before drug intake in order to determine DRV trough concentrations using an ultra performance liquid chromatography method (UPLC) with diode-array detection (DAD). Noteworthy is the fact that in 16 (11.9%) patients, etravirine (ETR) was combined with DRV. *CYP3A5* genotypes were determined using real time PCR method (TaqMan® genotyping assay). The patients were then classified into *CYP3A5* expressors (*CYP3A5**1 allele carriers) and non-expressors (*CYP3A5**3 homozygous). Subsequently, the association between DRV plasma trough concentration ($[DRV]_{\text{plasma}}$) and *CYP3A5* genotype-based expression status was analyzed.

Results

45% of the patients were classified as *CYP3A5* expressors. In the whole cohort, mean $[DRV]_{\text{plasma}}$ was not different between *CYP3A5* expressors and non-expressors (1894ng/ml [CI95%: 1566-2290] versus 1737ng/ml [CI95%: 1468-2057], $p=0.43$). However, in the subgroup of the 16 patients receiving DRV combined with ETR, a significantly lower $[DRV]_{\text{plasma}}$ was observed for *CYP3A5* expressors when compared to non-expressors (1385ng/ml [CI95%:886.3-2165] versus 3141ng/ml [CI95%:2042-4831], $p=0.007$).

Conclusions

Interaction between DRV and ETR is partly mediated by *CYP3A5* polymorphism with lower DRV plasma trough concentrations in *CYP3A5* expressors suggesting a specific ETR-driven *CYP3A5* activation only in *CYP3A5* expressors. Consequently, these patients might be more at risk of infra-therapeutic $[DRV]_{\text{plasma}}$. This potentially important observation is a good illustration of a genotype-based drug interaction, which could also have considerable consequences if translated to other *CYP3A5*-metabolized drugs. Further investigations are thus needed to confirm this association and to explore its clinical impact, mainly in the African population among whom *CYP3A5* expressors are more frequent, before recommending systematic *CYP3A5* pre-emptive genotyping for DRV-ETR co-administration.